UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/792,362	03/03/2004	Constantine Georgiades	PC25104-03-DCL	4243	
	7590 10/01/2007 rt Company LLC	EXA	EXAMINER		
201 Tabor Roa	d		GHALI	GHALI, ISIS A D	
Morris Plains, NJ 07950			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Office Action Summary		10/792,362	GEORGIADES E	GEORGIADES ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Isis A. Ghali	1615			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to receive by the Office later than three months after the managed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•		
		his action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho	Irawn from consideration.		<b></b>		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Salar Sa			
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		• *			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	•		•		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	·			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	· <del>·</del>				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have beer	received in this Nationa	ıl Stage		
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
				•		
,				·		
Attachmen	t(e)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
. apc	(2)	٠, 🗀 قيامار	<del></del> -			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-16 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - ١. Claims 1-9 and 16, drawn to composition comprising water-insoluble polymer, disintegration facilitator, classified in class 424, subclass 70.16.
  - II. Claims 10-15, drawn to multilayer film comprising at least two layers wherein at least one layer comprises composition comprising waterinsoluble polymer, disintegration facilitator, classified in class 424, subclass 443.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediatefinal product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as teeth whitening composition incorporated in toothpaste, and does not need to be incorporated in multi-layer laminate as required by invention II and Application/Control Number: 10/792,362

Art Unit: 1615

the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to

Page 3

show them to be obvious variants.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given

above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02),

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given

above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their

recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

5. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these

inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above

and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not

required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their

different classification;

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their

recognized divergent subject matter;

Application/Control Number: 10/792,362 Page 4

**Art Unit: 1615** 

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching

different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different

search queries);

(d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to

another invention;

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.

101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing

the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right

to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be

treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of

election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will

result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the

election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected

invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these

claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,362 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: "disintegration facilitator":
  - (a) insoluble particulate, or
  - (b) plasticizer.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species, in addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record. There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The Species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 10 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. Claims 9 and 12 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: "topical or systemic active agent" listed in claims 9 and 12. The species are independent or distinct because these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record, and the prior at that anticipate one species may not anticipate or render obvious the other species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

8. Claim 2 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: "water insoluble polymer" listed in claim 2. The species are independent or distinct because these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record, and the prior at that anticipate one species may not anticipate or render obvious the other species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

9. Claim 4 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: "plasticizer" listed in claim 4. The species are independent or distinct because these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record, and the prior at that anticipate one species may not anticipate or render obvious the other species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

10. Claim 6 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: "water insoluble particulate" listed in claim 6. The species are independent or distinct because these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record, and

Application/Control Number: 10/792,362

Art Unit: 1615

the prior at that anticipate one species may not anticipate or render obvious the other species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 9

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

11. Claim 14 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: "whitening agent" listed in claim 14. The species are independent or distinct because these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record, and the prior at that anticipate one species may not anticipate or render obvious the other species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 12. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Darryl Little on September 21, 2007 a provisional election was made to prosecute the invention of I, species shellac for water insoluble polymer, species glycerol esters for plasticizer, species whitening agent for active agent, and sub-species peroxide for whitening agent, claims 1-9 and 16.

  Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

  Claims 10-15 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 13. Upon further consideration of election requirement, a telephone call was made to Mr. Darryl Little on September 24, 2007 to request an oral election to species of the "disintegration facilitator" as set forth in section 5 of this office action, but did not result in an election being made since Mr. Little did not return the examiner's telephonic message.

14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/792,362

Art Unit: 1615

Page 12

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis A. Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Isis A Ghali Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615

IG

ISIS GHALI
RIMARY EXAMINER

Jis Thel.